

Proposed Clarifications to Adoption Draft: October 2024

As of October 21, the Adoption Draft has been viewed 416 times. Seven commenters provided 24 comments. A summary of the comments received is attached. Additional comments were received via e-mail. The following clarifications are proposed based on input received on the Adoption Draft. This document illustrates proposed clarifications based on the comments received.

17.03.040(k) Primitive Camping

(k) Primitive Camping

The following regulations apply to primitive camping on private property.

(1) Generally:

- (i) Overnight camping stays shall be limited to 10 consecutive days.
- (ii) Private covenants running with land may restrict or prohibit primitive camping as defined in this UDC; it is the responsibility of the property owner, not the County or any County employee or agent, to ensure compliance with restrictive covenants.
- (iii) Recreational vehicles may be used for camping on private property provided they are not connected to on-site utilities and services (e.g., water, sewer, electric).
- (iv) The rental of private property for primitive camping in exchange for compensation is prohibited.
- (2) In the RR, SR1, SR2, LR, HR, and A3 districts:
 - A permanent residential structure shall be constructed prior to camping on the property.
- (ii) Overnight camping shall be allowed on residential property with access to a private yard or driveway.
- (3) In the A1 and A2 districts, at least one of the following shall be established prior to camping on the property:

- (ii) Other improvements or activities associated with a primary use as permitted by Table 17.03.020.
- (2)(1)____Overnight camping stays shall be limited to 10 consecutive days.
- (3) Recreational vehicles may be used for camping on private property provided they are not connected to on-site utilities and services (e.g., water, sewer, electric).
- (4) The rental of private property for primitive camping in exchange for compensation is prohibited.

⁽i) A structure; or

17.12.030 Definitions

Primitive Camping

The use of a private yard<u>or</u> driveway<u>or other area</u> in association with a permanent residential structure for overnight stays in a tent or recreational vehicle. Camping is intended as a temporary recreational or leisure activity by the landowner for the private enjoyment of the landowner and their association by permission.

Gaming Arcade

A skilled gaming business that <u>is limited to patrons over 21 years of age</u> operates as an accessory to a business or private club, and offers or provides <u>legal</u> electronic game equipment provided by or on behalf of the operator that is used or adapted for use to play or operate a computer simulation of a game (e.g., "fish game" or "fish game table") where the play or operation of the device may deliver or entitle the person or persons playing or operating the device to a payoff directly or indirectly from the owner or operator of the device or that person's designee. <u>Gaming arcades are not included in the Indoor Recreation and</u> Entertainment use type.

17.03.040 (o) Urban Agriculture

(1)(iii)Poultry Keeping

- d. Processing of poultry <u>raised on site</u> for the personal consumption of the occupants of the property is allowed, provided it does not constitute a nuisance, safety, or health hazard for surrounding properties. and shall occur in an enclosed area.
- e.—No on-site processing of backyard poultry for commercial purposes shall be allowed.

17.05.030 Permanent Sign Standards by Zone District or Use Type

	TT-reet M	ixea ose, com	nerciat, and fi		tricts = MN, MC,	ee, 0, m, PP	
Sign Type	Max. No. Signs	Area per Sign Setback Illumination		illumination	Min. Clearance (FT)	Max Projection (FT)	
Attached Signs							
Projecting Sign	l per tenant	6	Top of wall where located	n/a	Internal and EMD [2]	10	6
Wall Sign	n/a	25% of wall where located, up to max. 250 <u>; Max.</u> <u>EMD-only sign</u> <u>area: 100</u>	Top of wall where located	n/a	Internal, External, and EMD [2]; Max. EMD incorporated into larger static sign: 50% of total sign area	10	1
Freestanding Si	gns						
Directional Sign	l per each vehicle exit/entry	6	6	n/a	internal and External	n/a	n/a
Freeway Interchange [3,4]	1 per parcel	300 <u>; Max.</u> EMD-only sign area: 150	50	n/a	Internal and EMD [2]; Max. EMD incorporated into larger static sign: 50% of total sign area	n/a	n/a
Gateway Sign [5]	2 per vehicle entry	64	6 for structure and sign, or higher as allowed by building permit	10	Internal or External	n/a	n/a

Table 17.05.3: Permanent Signs in Mixed-Use, Commercial, and Industrial Districts

SF = Square Feet FT =Feet Mixed-Use, Commercial, and Industrial Districts = MN, MC, CC, LI, HI, PP									
	Max.	Max. Sign	Max.	Min.		Min.	Max		
		Area per Sign		Setback	Illumination	Clearance	Projection		
Sign Type	No. Signs	(SF)	Height (FT)	(FT)[1]		(FT)	(FT)		
					Internal,				
				Per zone	External, and				
				district;	EMD [2]; Max.				
		200; Max.		may be	EMD				
Pole Sign, Single	l per street frontage	EMD-only sign	30	reduced	incorporated	Ped: 10	n/a		
or Multi-Tenant		area: 100		with Public		Veh: 14			
				Works	static sign:				
				approval	50% of total				
					sign area				
Monument Sign									
			12, including		Internal.				
Single Tenant		100	sign base		External, and	n/a	n/a		
					EMD [2]; Max.				
		+ 10 sf per			EMD				
	1 per street	tenant; max.		Per zone	incorporated				
Multiple	frontage	sign area 200;	12, including	district	into larger	n/a	n/a		
Tenants		Max. EMD-	sign base		static sign:	10.0			
		only sign area:			50% of total				
		100			sign area				
Notes:									
[1] Shall meet setba	acks for access	ory structures.							
[2] EMD signs are n									
[3] Only allowed or		is at least 10 acre	s in size with 3	300 feet front	age along right-o	of-way and loo	ated within		
1,000 feet of the in	2								
[4] Shall apply with		Soverning Outdoo	or Advertising	where applica	able.				
[5] See also §17.05.0	050(a)								

Table 17.05.3: Permanent Signs in Mixed-Use, Commercial, and Industrial Districts (cont.)

Table 17.05.4: Regulations for the Placement of Billboards

Table 17.	Table 17.05.4: Regulations for the Placement of Billboards										
SF = Squa	SF = Square Feet FT = Feet										
Zone District	Not Allowed	Uses by Review	Area (I	Sign Face Max. SF)	Total Sign Area (Max. SF)	Height (Max. FT)	Single Dimension (Max. FT)	illum.	EMD		
			Static Only or Static with up to 50% EMD	EMD only							
A1-A3		х	300	<u>150</u>	600	35	25	Yes	Yes		
RR-HR	Х										
MN- MC		Х	300	<u>150</u>	600	35	25	Yes	Yes		
сс		х	720	<u>360</u>	1,440	35	50	Yes	Yes		
LI-HI		Х	720	<u>360</u>	1,440	50	50	Yes	Yes		
PP		Х	720	<u>360</u>	1,440	50	50	Yes	Yes		

17.05.050(b) Electronic Message Display (EMD) Signs

(5) EMD Sign Face Enclosure

(4) Display Technology

The technology currently being deployed for EMDs is LED (light emitting diode), but there may be alternate, preferred, and superior technology available in the future. Any other technology that operates under the brightness limits above shall not require an ordinance change for approval.

(5) Incorporation in Monument, Pole, or Attached SignageEMD Sign Face Enclosure

- (i) EMDs are only permitted as an integral element of a monument, pole, or attached sign.
- (ii) The EMD shall be limited to 50 percent of the total sign area.
- (iii)(i) <u>EMD-only sign faces and sign faces</u> The EMD shall be incorporated into the surface of the sign face. wWhere the edge of the <u>EMD</u> is located at the edge of the sign, the entire sign face shall be enclosed with a finish of brick, stone, stucco, powder coated, painted, or comparable finished metal.

17.02.08(e) PuebloPlex

Table 17.02.35: Lot and Building Standards

Remove building height limitation for industrial uses for all structures (consistent with current P-1 Zoning).

Tat	Table 17.02.35: Lot and Building Standards								
SF	= Square Feet	U = Dwelling Uni	AC = Acre N/A = Not Applicable						
Ind	ustrial								
Lot	Standards		Coverage (Maximum)						
Lot	Area, Minimum	20,000 SF	Building Coverage N/A						
Lot	Width, Minimum	N/A	Building Height (Maximum)						
Bui	lding Setbacks (Minimum)		All Buildings <u>N/A60 FT</u>						
	Front	25 FT							
	Side	15 FT							
	Rear	15 FT							
Ag	ricultural								
Lot	Standards		Coverage (Maximum)						
Lot	Area, Minimum	1 AC	Building Coverage 25%						
Lot	Width, Minimum	N/A	Building Height (Maximum)						
Bui	lding Setbacks (Minimum)		All Buildings N/A						
	Front	25 FT							
	Side	15 FT							
	Rear	15 FT							

17.02.08(e)(3) Additional Standards (PuebloPlex)

Chapter 17.02 Zone Districts Zone Districts 17.02.080 Commercial and Industrial Zone Districts 17.02.080(e) PuebloPlex (PP)

- e. No noxious gases resulting from any industrial or business activity shall be discernible at the outer boundaries of the development site;
- f. No glare of heat shall be discernible beyond the outer boundaries of the development site; and
- Industrial wastes shall be deposited, stored, and transmitted from the development site so as <u>to not</u> be objectionable to adjacent development sites or create a public nuisance;

(4) Off-Street Parking and Loading

The PP district shall be subject to shall be subject to the requirements of \$17.04.020(d)(3), Schedule C.

(4)(5) Other Applicable UDC Sections

Development shall comply with all applicable sections of this UDC. Cross-references to some of the key sections are provided below.

Table 17.02.36: Cross-References to Other Applicable UDC Sections							
Code Section	Section Reference						
Use Regulations	Chapter 17.03						
Floodplain	17.04.010						
Off-Street Parking and Loading	17.04.020						
Screening	17.04.030						

Table 17.03.1: Table of Allowed Uses

Add 'P' for 'Vehicle Sales' heavy and light, and 'Outdoor Commercial Storage' for PuebloPlex.

Table 17.03.1: Table of Allowed Uses																	
P = Permitted S = Special	Use Pe	rmit	E = Min	ing and	Extrac	tion Pe	rmit 1	N = Haz	ardous	Waste	Incinera	ator or	Proces	sor			
M = Zoning Compliance Rev	view (N	larijuar	na) H	= Zonir	ıg Comj	oliance	Review	ı (Hemp) D =	Design	ated Ac	tivity o	of State	and Lo	ocal Inte	rest	
See \$17.04.020(d) for off-:	See 517.04.020(d) for off-street parking requirements																
Zone District	A1	A2	AЗ	RR	SR1	SR2	LR	HR	MN	мс	cc	u	н	рр	PL	CF	Use-Specific Standards
Dwelling, Farmstead Accessory	Ρ	Р															17.03.040(f)
Equestrian Facility, Private	Ρ	Р	Р	Р													17.03.030(b)(3)
Food Truck									Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р			17.03.040(g)
Gaming Arcade											s	s					
Greenhouse	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р			
Home Occupation	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р							17.03.040(h)
Home Day Care, Large	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р							17.03.040(h)
Home Day Care, Small	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р							
Outdoor Commercial Storage											Р	Р	Р	P			17.03.040(į́)
Outdoor Display and Sales										Р	Р						17.03.040(j)
Primitive Camping	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р									17.03.040(k)
Private Cemetery	Р	Р	Р														17.03.040(l)
Short-Term Rental	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р							17.03.040(l)
Solar Energy Facility	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р			17.03.040(n)
Urban Agriculture				Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р				Р			17.03.040(o)
Vehicle Storage	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р									17.03.040(p)
Wind Energy Facility	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р				17.03.040(q)

Table 17.03.1: Table of Al	lowed	Uses															
P = Permitted S = Specia M = Zoning Compliance Re See S17.04.020(d) for off-	l Use Pe view (M	ermit 1arijuar	na) H	= Zonir	ng Comp										cal Inte	erest	
Zone District	A1	A2	A3	RR	SR1	SR2	LR	HR	MN	мс	cc	u	н	PP	PL	CF	Use-Specific Standards
Vehicle Sales, Rental, and Leasing, Heavy	s	s									Р	Р	Р	P			
Vehicle Sales, Rental, and Leasing, Light										Р	Р	Р	Р	P			
Vehicle Service Station									Р	Р	Р	Р	Р	Р			
Industrial Uses																	
Industrial Services																	
Autonomous Vehicle Test Site														s			
Contractor's Yard	Р	Р										Р	Р	Р			17.03.030(e)(1)
Industrial Sales and Services												Р	Р	Р			
Manufacturing, Assembly	, or Pro	ocessin	ng														
Manufacturing, Heavy												Р	Р	Р			
Manufacturing, Light											S	Р	Р	Р			

17.04.050(i) Conservation Development

a. A2, Medium Agriculture District

- Base density one dwelling unit per 5-acres, in accordance with 17.02.050(c).
- 2. Conservation development allowances:
 - i. One and a half dwelling units per 5-acres with individual well and septic systems.
 - Up to two dwelling units per 5-acres with individual well and septic systems.
 - iii. Up to four dwelling units per 5-acres with:
 - A. Access to public water and sewer; or
 - B. Access to public water and an engineered community septic system, subject to approval by the Pueblo Department of Public Health and Environment (PDPHE). Community septic systems that generate more than 2,000 gallons per day shall be approved by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment and PDPHE. All community septic systems shall provide recorded maintenance agreements.
- Lot size may be reduced to 10 percent of base density (no smaller than one-half of an acre), subject to <u>the eligibility requirements for lot size</u> <u>reductions in Figure 04.11.</u> applicable well and septic spacing requirements.

b. A3, Small Agriculture District

1. Base density one dwelling unit per one-acre, in accordance with

Chapter 17.04 Development Standards 17.04.050 Subdivision Design 17.04.050(i) Conservation Development

- ii. Up to four dwelling units per one-acre with:
 - A. Access to public water and sewer; or
 - B. Access to public water and an engineered community septic system, subject to approval by the Pueblo Department of Public Health and Environment (PDPHE). Community septic systems that generate more than 2,000 gallons per day shall be approved by the Colorado Department of Health and Environment and PDPHE. All community septic systems shall provide recorded maintenance agreements.
- Lot sizes may be reduced to 25 percent of base density (no smaller than one-fourth of an acre), subject to <u>the eligibility requirements for lot size</u> <u>reductions in Figure 04.11</u>, applicable well and septic spacing requirements.

Date posted	Comments received on Adoption Draft UDC through 10.21.2024	Торіс	Respo
	Thought we had modified this provision to allow camping without a "permanent residential		
9/28/2024	structure" for places like Hatchet Ranch.	Primitive Camping	Use-s
			There
			Produ
	Why should I need a special use permit for the agricultural production I'm doing in SR1 zoning?		zone
9/30/2024	Let people farm.	Urban Agriculture	Agricu
			These
	The proposed regulations are misguided at best and authoritarian at worst. It is not the local		MC zo
	government's job to dictated, when, where, and how farmers like me should slaughter our		locate
	animals—especially when the USDA already oversees and regulates these practices.		
	Specifically, Pueblo County currently has no poultry slaughter facility, leaving small farmers		
	with two harmful options: 1) invest \$10,000 to \$15,000 to build a state-inspected facility or 2)		
	transport birds to New Mexico for slaughter at a cost of approximately \$2 per bird. Both options		
	make it nearly impossible for small pastured poultry producers to survive.		
	These changes will disproportionately hurt local producers, particularly those who raise		
	pastured poultry—the only truly ethical and healthy way to farm chickens. Small farmers are		
	already struggling to navigate complex regulations. Adding more bureaucratic barriers will only		
	drive more of us out of business, favoring the industrial agricultural model that has done so		
	much farm to rural economies nationwide.		
	I'd also like to highlight the lack of transparency surrounding these proposed changes. When		
	regulations are buried within a 500+ page document, it raises serious concerns about the		
	accessibility and openness of this process. If these regulations truly serve the public good, they		
	should be clearly communicated and open to full public discourse. Local government should		
	be encouraging holistic, sustainable food production, not stifling it with opaque policies.		
	be encouraging notistic, sustainable rood production, not stirting it with opaque policies.		
10/5/2024	Furthermore, I believe Pueblo city and county officials would be far better served focusing on	Poultry Keeping	
	Thank you for getting back to me! I'm glad I won't be affected by the zoning changes, however I		
	think it's still important to consider that Colorado is a food desert. Zoning changes such as the		
	proposal I made comments on affect a wide variety of small farmers, even those tending their		
	backyards. While you might see someone selling only a couple hundred chickens a year as a		
	nuisance, I see them as a beginning farmer, doing what they can with what they have. To		
	restrict that, makes the barrier to entry to farming that much greater. As a state and country, we		
	struggle to bring new generations into the fold of farming. We must protect those little		
	opportunities such as backyard poultry processing where we can. These proposed changes do		
	more harm than good. Having slaughtered thousands of chickens, I can tell you first hand, there		
	is not smell, no noise and no residual effect of backyard slaughter. I myself started poultry in		Der
			Requi
	my backyard in pueblo, slaughter batch #1 in my backyard.		raisec
10/8/2024	I simply ask that you consider removing those restrictions.	Poultry Keeping	allow
10/0/2024	This would make my business, where I process poultry for personal consumption and for sale at		
	the local farmer's market, illegal.		Requi
			raised
0/20/2024	I process outdoors, as is standard. I sell the birds. The neighbors don't even notice.	Poultry Keeping	allow
3/30/2024	ו איסטטטט טענעטטיט, עט וא איסטענענעניין איסטער איז איזיא איסטער איז איז איזיא איסטער איז איזיא איסטער איז איזיא	i ouici y iceepilig	Surro

ponse

-specific standards to clarify distinctions by zone district.

re is a distinction in the definitions for Urban Agriculture and Agricultural duction to accommodate more intensive agricultural uses in the A1, A2, and A3 e districts. You would not be required to obtain a special use permit for Urban icultural uses in the SR1 zone district.

se regulations apply to Urban Agriculture in the RR, SR1, SR2, LR, HR, MN, and zone districts. It does not apply in the A2 district (where commentor's farm is ated). See related comments and response on Poultry Keeping.

uirement related to backyard processing updated to read: 'Processing of poultry ed on site for the personal consumption of the occupants of the property is wed, provided it does not constitute a nuisance, safety, or health hazard for rounding properties.'

uirement related to backyard processing updated to read: 'Processing of poultry ed on site for the personal consumption of the occupants of the property is wed, provided it does not constitute a nuisance, safety, or health hazard for rounding properties.'

			-
	Colorado is an Open Range state. Birds, like other livestock, should be allowed to free range if		The pi Poultr
9/30/2024		Poultry Keeping	four-f
0/00/2024	The government has not right to tell me how I should raise my animals. Free ranging already has		<u></u>
	a host of benefits to the animal, namely being able to express it's full range of natural		
	behaviors. Additionally, I have no financial intensive to steward animals in an ineffective, or		This re
	neglectful way. The county truly should stick to focusing on issues such as crime,		MC zc
10/5/2024		Poultry Keeping	locate
		, , , , , ,	The Ta
			distric
			access
			distric
			'Poult
9/30/2024	It is impossible for me to place the bird shelter 50 feet away from the property line.	Aviaries	receiv
			Requi
			raised
			allowe
9/30/2024	This is ridiculous. Who are you to tell me I can't raise a few extra chickens for market?	Poultry Keeping	surrou
	This cannot be stated enough. The USDA already provides a regulatory system for the		Requi
	processing of poultry, and as it is the state of Colorado is already one of the strictest when it		raised
	comes to direct farm sales of poultry. Pueblo county will do all local farmers a disservice		allowe
10/5/2024	restricting it further.	Poultry Keeping	surrou
			No ch
			neighl
			This p
9/30/2024	· · ·	Poultry Keeping	on inp
	Giving neighbors the power to restrict what I can and cannot do based on regulation that		
	doesn't provide a monitor-able standard is absurd. Regulation like this should be avoided at all		
	cost. Any animal creates odor, makes noise or could even present a health hazard. Neighbors		These
		Animal Keeping	Itho A
10/5/2024			the A2
10/0/2024		Animal Keeping	No ch
10/0/2024	Same question, I thought this was modified to allow camping without a "permanent residential	Animal Keeping	
10/0/2024	Same question, I thought this was modified to allow camping without a "permanent residential structure" on private property in places like Hatchet Ranch. Am I confused about this? You	Animal Keeping	
10/0/2024	Same question, I thought this was modified to allow camping without a "permanent residential	Animal Keeping	No ch
	Same question, I thought this was modified to allow camping without a "permanent residential structure" on private property in places like Hatchet Ranch. Am I confused about this? You use the term private yard, are we implying a lot size?		No ch
	Same question, I thought this was modified to allow camping without a "permanent residential structure" on private property in places like Hatchet Ranch. Am I confused about this? You use the term private yard, are we implying a lot size?	Animal Keeping Primitive Camping	No ch
9/28/2024	Same question, I thought this was modified to allow camping without a "permanent residential structure" on private property in places like Hatchet Ranch. Am I confused about this? You use the term private yard, are we implying a lot size? Sorry I did not look at this sooner. Consistent with the current P-1 zoning, we request that all Building Heights in Industrial uses	Primitive Camping	No ch Defini Associ
9/28/2024 10/10/2024	Same question, I thought this was modified to allow camping without a "permanent residential structure" on private property in places like Hatchet Ranch. Am I confused about this? You use the term private yard, are we implying a lot size? Sorry I did not look at this sooner. Consistent with the current P-1 zoning, we request that all Building Heights in Industrial uses have 'No Requirement'.	Primitive Camping PuebloPlex	No chi Defini Associ
9/28/2024 10/10/2024 10/11/2024	Same question, I thought this was modified to allow camping without a "permanent residential structure" on private property in places like Hatchet Ranch. Am I confused about this? You use the term private yard, are we implying a lot size? Sorry I did not look at this sooner. Consistent with the current P-1 zoning, we request that all Building Heights in Industrial uses have 'No Requirement'. Allow Permitted (P) use for PuebloPlex	Primitive Camping PuebloPlex PuebloPlex	No chi Defini Associ Updat Addec
9/28/2024 10/10/2024 10/11/2024 10/11/2024	Same question, I thought this was modified to allow camping without a "permanent residential structure" on private property in places like Hatchet Ranch. Am I confused about this? You use the term private yard, are we implying a lot size? Sorry I did not look at this sooner. Consistent with the current P-1 zoning, we request that all Building Heights in Industrial uses have 'No Requirement'. Allow Permitted (P) use for PuebloPlex Allow Permitted (P) use for PuebloPlex	Primitive Camping PuebloPlex PuebloPlex PuebloPlex PuebloPlex	No chi Defini Associ Updat Addec Addec
9/28/2024 10/10/2024 10/11/2024 10/11/2024	Same question, I thought this was modified to allow camping without a "permanent residential structure" on private property in places like Hatchet Ranch. Am I confused about this? You use the term private yard, are we implying a lot size? Sorry I did not look at this sooner. Consistent with the current P-1 zoning, we request that all Building Heights in Industrial uses have 'No Requirement'. Allow Permitted (P) use for PuebloPlex Allow Permitted (P) use for PuebloPlex Allow Permitted (P) use for PuebloPlex	Primitive Camping PuebloPlex PuebloPlex	No chi Defini Associ Updat Addec
9/28/2024 10/10/2024 10/11/2024 10/11/2024	Same question, I thought this was modified to allow camping without a "permanent residential structure" on private property in places like Hatchet Ranch. Am I confused about this? You use the term private yard, are we implying a lot size? Sorry I did not look at this sooner. Consistent with the current P-1 zoning, we request that all Building Heights in Industrial uses have 'No Requirement'. Allow Permitted (P) use for PuebloPlex Allow Permitted (P) use for PuebloPlex Allow Permitted (P) use for PuebloPlex Schedule B parking requirements in most cases are excessive for PuebloPlex. We recognize	Primitive Camping PuebloPlex PuebloPlex PuebloPlex PuebloPlex	No chi Defini Associ Updat Addec Addec
9/28/2024 10/10/2024 10/11/2024 10/11/2024 10/11/2024	Same question, I thought this was modified to allow camping without a "permanent residential structure" on private property in places like Hatchet Ranch. Am I confused about this? You use the term private yard, are we implying a lot size? Sorry I did not look at this sooner. Consistent with the current P-1 zoning, we request that all Building Heights in Industrial uses have 'No Requirement'. Allow Permitted (P) use for PuebloPlex Allow Permitted (P) use for PuebloPlex Allow Permitted (P) use for PuebloPlex Schedule B parking requirements in most cases are excessive for PuebloPlex. We recognize the ability to provide campus-type parking; however, Schedule C parking requirements will	Primitive Camping PuebloPlex PuebloPlex PuebloPlex PuebloPlex	No chi Defini Associ Updat Addec Addec
9/28/2024 10/10/2024 10/11/2024 10/11/2024 10/11/2024	Same question, I thought this was modified to allow camping without a "permanent residential structure" on private property in places like Hatchet Ranch. Am I confused about this? You use the term private yard, are we implying a lot size? Sorry I did not look at this sooner. Consistent with the current P-1 zoning, we request that all Building Heights in Industrial uses have 'No Requirement'. Allow Permitted (P) use for PuebloPlex Allow Permitted (P) use for PuebloPlex Allow Permitted (P) use for PuebloPlex Schedule B parking requirements in most cases are excessive for PuebloPlex. We recognize the ability to provide campus-type parking; however, Schedule C parking requirements will allow greater flexibility for our varied uses.	Primitive Camping PuebloPlex PuebloPlex PuebloPlex PuebloPlex	No chi Defini Associ Updat Addec Addec
9/28/2024 10/10/2024 10/11/2024 10/11/2024 10/11/2024	Same question, I thought this was modified to allow camping without a "permanent residential structure" on private property in places like Hatchet Ranch. Am I confused about this? You use the term private yard, are we implying a lot size? Sorry I did not look at this sooner. Consistent with the current P-1 zoning, we request that all Building Heights in Industrial uses have 'No Requirement'. Allow Permitted (P) use for PuebloPlex Allow Permitted (P) use for PuebloPlex Allow Permitted (P) use for PuebloPlex Schedule B parking requirements in most cases are excessive for PuebloPlex. We recognize the ability to provide campus-type parking; however, Schedule C parking requirements will allow greater flexibility for our varied uses. PDPHE often has difficulty approving lots under 1 acre in size due to set back requirements.	Primitive Camping PuebloPlex PuebloPlex PuebloPlex PuebloPlex	No chi Defini Associ Updat Addec Addec

provision referenced accommodates what the commentor is suggesting. Itry shall be kept in a predator-resistant enclosure <u>or in a yard with a minimum</u> <u>r-foot high, fully enclosing fence at all times</u>.

requirement applies to Urban Agriculture in the RR, SR1, SR2, LR, HR, MN, and zone districts. It does not apply in the A2 district (where commentor's farm is ited).

Table of Allowed Uses lists 'Aviary' as an accessory use in the A1-RR zone ricts where the lot sizes are larger, whereas 'Urban Agriculture' is listed as an essory use for the RR-MC zone districts, which includes SR1. In an SR1 zone rict, the activities described would be subject to the use specific standards for ultry Keeping,' which were updated to provide more flexibility based on input eived on the Consolidated Draft.

uirement related to backyard processing updated to read: 'Processing of poultry ed on site for the personal consumption of the occupants of the property is wed, provided it does not constitute a nuisance, safety, or health hazard for rounding properties.'

uirement related to backyard processing updated to read: 'Processing of poultry ed on site for the personal consumption of the occupants of the property is wed, provided it does not constitute a nuisance, safety, or health hazard for ounding properties.'

change. It is typical for Urban Agriculture regulations to limit roosters in shborhood settings where the lots are smaller and homes are closer together. provision was updated to remove the RR district for the Adoption Draft based nput received on the Consolidated Draft.

se regulations apply to the Rural Residential zone district. They do not apply in A2 district (where commentor's farm is located). change

nition modified to read remove stipulation about residential structure. ociated use-specific standards clarified to specify where that stipulation applies.

ated.	
ed to table of allowed uses.	
ed to table of allowed uses.	
ed to table of allowed uses.	

ed Schedule C reference to standards in PuebloPlex District.

ified to require consistency with diagram, which does require them to hook in rovide community septic.

	For systems over 2,000 gallons, we would want to approve them in addition to CDPHE		
	approving them. That way when a tank needed replacing on one house, for example, PDPHE		
	could approve the repair instead of requiring the homeowner to go to the state in order to be	Conservation	
10/16/2024	able to do the work.	Development	Adde
	PDPHE often has difficulty approving lots under 1 acre in size due to set back requirements.		
	One quarter acre lots are often not able to be approved. We would prefer any lots under 1 acre	Conservation	Clarifi
10/16/2024	in size be required to hook into a municipal sewer system.	Development	or pro
	PDPHE requires a variance any time a proposed septic system would cross over a property line.		
	This would require a variance hearing with the board of health to approve a community system		
	as it would cross over multiple property lines. Also, if a leach field was placed on its own	Conservation	
10/16/2024	parcel, that lot would not be able to be developed in the future.	Development	Addeo
	(k) Primitive Camping		
	#(4). I feel this is a good statement/regulation. I would suggest adding at the end of the		
	sentence a MAXIMUM number of days per year, ie 15 or 20 or nor more than 30, for I can see		
	someone abusing the 10 day requirement by continually leaving for a couple of days then		
10/16/2024	returning.	Primitive Camping	No ch
	There are many lots in Colorado City that are owned but not yet occupied by the owner.		
	Numerous times they have come down in an RV to inspect their vacant property. # (1)		No ch
	seems to have no logical reasoning and prevents these owners from staying for up to 10		a hom
10/16/2024	days. I would suggest leaving this out.	Primitive Camping	as Ter

ded PDPHE to reviewers

rified to require consistency with diagram, which does require them to hook in provide community septic.

ded PDPHE to reviewers

change.

change. If someone is in the process of planning to build, or is actively building, ome on their vacant property they can get a permit to use a Recreational Vehicle Temporary Housing under 17.03.050(b).